



WP7: ongoing activities during the 2015

5th Executive Board meeting

17th February 2015

Brussels, Belgium



Marina Maggini
National Institute of Health, Italy

Jelka Zaletel
National Institute of Public Health, Slovenia

FIRST YEAR ACHIEVEMENTS (2014)

WP7

Literature review: effective strategies on prevention, management, health promotion, education of persons with diabetes, training for professionals; characteristics of National Diabetes Plans.

Definition of core elements of the questionnaires (quality criteria)

Definition of questionnaires for the collection of data:
one on program and practices for prevention and management of diabetes
one for National Diabetes Plans

- 1st WP7 general meeting - Rome, 9th July 2014
- Joint WP6-WP7 meeting – Vilnius, 6th-7th 2014

- One questionnaire on program and practices for prevention and management of diabetes (T1-T4)
- One for National Diabetes Plans (T5)

The responses to the questionnaire will not be used to examine the performance of policies or programs in any given country, to rank countries according to their policies and programs or as a benchmarking tool.

First phase

to provide a structured overview about current programs (interventions, initiatives, approaches or equivalents)

Second phase

analysis of the programs identified in the first phase

Section A - Prevention of diabetes: focus on people at high risk

Section B - Management of diabetes

Section C - Education programs for persons with diabetes and training for professionals

a structured description of the main program (intervention, initiative, approach or equivalent) at national, sub-national or local level

a short description of other plans, programs, interventions, strategies, experiences that are worth to be reported

Questionnaire T1- 4 State of the art

Austria
Belgium
Czech Republic
Denmark
Finland
France
Germany
Greece
Ireland
Italy
Lithuania
Malta
Norway
Portugal
Slovenia
Spain
United Kingdom




Bulgaria
Croatia
Cyprus
Estonia
Iceland
Netherlands
Sweden




↑
EPF helps in
contacting experts

T1-T4: Reported practices/programs


Programs on prevention of diabetes (n=23)



Programs on management of diabetes (n=21)



Programs on patient education (n=12)



Programs on training for professionals (n=17)




National Diabetes Plan is...

...any formal strategy for improving diabetes policy, services and outcomes that encompass structured and integrated or linked activities which are planned and co-ordinated nationally and conducted at the national, state or district, and local level;

...systematic and co-ordinated approach to improving the organisation, accessibility, and quality of diabetes prevention and care which is usually manifested as a comprehensive policy, advocacy and action plan.

T5: Are we still on the track



Section A – General information on NDP status as of Aug 31st 2014

Section B – Experiences about processes during preparation, implementation, sustainability and spread of NDP

Section C – Contents of NDP

Section D – Adherence to IDF Core standards

Section E – Further contacts

Identification of respondents

First response

E-mailings, teleconferences

Final response

T5: Final responses received (no responses in black) ^{WP7}

Austria
Belgium
Bulgaria
Croatia
Denmark
Finland
France
Germany
Greece
Iceland
Ireland

Italy
Lithuania
Netherlands
Norway
Portugal
Slovakia
Slovenia
Spain
Sweden
UK/England

Cyprus
Czech republic
Estonia
Hungary
Latvia
Liechtenstein
Luxembourg
Malta
Poland
Romania
Switzerland

T5: Draft report on contents of NDP

Austria

Belgium

Bulgaria

Croatia

Denmark

Finland

France

Germany

Greece

Iceland

Ireland

Italy

Lithuania

Netherlands

Norway

Portugal

Slovakia

Slovenia

Spain

Sweden

UK/England

Final response shown in
Tables approved

LESSONS LEARNED

WP7

JA is not a research project and partners generally do not know how to work in such environment.

Deliverables have to be produced on time, but by themselves will not lead to sustainable improvement in MSs JA is obliged to achieve. The way that they are produced is crucial.

Not all the partners feel involved in JA activities as they would like to be.

LESSONS LEARNED

WP7

Networking and efficient flow of information are both VERY time consuming and VERY VERY important. How to make it happen?

We have the WHAT, the WHO, the WHEN, but do we know the WHY JA exists and HOW will it accomplish it?

Partners in JA are different. So we talk. If something goes wrong, we talk. If nothing changes – we talk again.

PLANNED ACTIVITIES 2015 T1-T5

WP7

- 3rd WP7 general meeting, expert overview - June/July 2015 (date tbd)
- WP7 meeting with experts - October/November 2015 (date tbd)
- Workshop on NDP – October 2015 (date tbd)

- Publication of five papers on Annals of ISS (open access – submission by the end of April)

- Dissemination activities through the participation to relevant meetings (EASD, IDF, National meetings, ...) (*budget*)

PLANNED ACTIVITIES 2015 T1-T4

WP7

- Completing data collection
- Evaluation of collected data: descriptive analysis by topic and by Country
- Analysis of programs/interventions/strategies/experiences reported by partners (*potential good practices*)
- SWOT analysis (one per Country)

NEXT STEPS T1-T4

Evaluation of collected information

WP7

Analysis of practices reported by partners

- Description of practices via semi-structured questionnaire by relevant responders
 - Quantitative
 - based on pre-defined criteria
 - Qualitative
 - why should this practice be considered a good/best practice?
 - how does this practice help in driving the change? Reasons for success (positive lessons learned) and failure (negative lessons learned)
- If necessary, direct interview

NEXT STEPS T1-T4


SWOT analysis

WP7

One SWOT analysis per Country/MS

- Identification of policies with Task Leaders, APs and CPs
- Identification of responders for each Country/MS

Data analysis, synthesis and final report




PLANNED ACTIVITIES 2015

T5

WP7


- Report on Contents of NDP
- Define the method for analysing processes (context, drivers) related to NDP preparation, implementation, sustainability and spread of NDP



- Drafting of Guide for NDP (diabetes as a case study) from inputs from Questionnaires, WP7 partners, additional info collection, workshop and DELPHI

WP7: Are we still on the track

BIG FRAME



The Joint Action on Chronic Diseases and Promoting Healthy Ageing across the Life Cycle (JA-CHRODIS)*



Co-funded by
the Health Programme
of the European Union

* This presentation arises from the Joint Action addressing chronic diseases and healthy ageing across the life cycle (JA-CHRODIS), which has received funding from the European Union, under the framework of the Health Programme (2008-2013).