SWOT Analysis

Diabetes Strategy in the National Health System, Spain



Vendula Blaya Nováková Agency for Health Technology Assessment, Institute of Health Carlos III

Participants

- Former coordinator of the Diabetes Strategy at the Ministry of Health
- Nurse involved in a health promotion health education program
- Primary care physician/researcher in the area of diabetes prevention programs
- Public health officers from the Department of Primary Care, Planning and Evaluation of a Regional MoH
- Head of the Department of Programs and Healthcare Services of a Regional MoH
- Representative of a pharmaceutical company working in the field of diabetes treatment



Programs

- Diabetes Strategy in the National Health System. Updated 2012 (Estrategia en Diabetes del Sistema Nacional de Salud. Actualización 2012)
- DE-PLAN-CAT: Diabetes in Europe Prevention using Lifestyle, Physical Activity and Nutritional Intervention – Catalonia
- Patient Competent in Diabetes (Paciente Competente en Diabetes) and Retinography Training: Technique and Interpretation/Fogar dixital - Galician Health Service
- Active Patient Program Basque Public Health System



Strengths

National perspective

- **Standardization** of the contents and priorities in diabetic care
- Greater cohesion → equality → homogenization of the quality
- Regional diabetes plans
- MoH: Authority to summon all the constituents. Facilitator and mediator
- Evidence-based / consensus
- Global vision of the situation across Spain → exchange of good practices
- Coordination (other chronic disease strategies, activities of the other ministries)

Regional perspective

- Integrated care
- Communication technologies, shared EMR. Training opportunities
- **Proactive:** prevention, healthy lifestyles promotion, early detection, prevention of chronic complications
- Patient education programs → patient empowerment.
- **Possibility to measure** patient outcomes, quality, effectiveness and cost of the interventions



Weaknesses

National perspective

- The structure of the Spanish National Health System hinders the coordination
- The MoH does not have access to data from the Autonomous Communities for comparison and assessment of the progress made
- Changes in the health authorities' priorities may relegate the application of the Strategy
- Budgetary limitations

Regional perspective

- Project growth → ↑ staff requirements (funding, workload), ↓ efficiency.
- Demotivation
- Patient compliance/adherence.
- The Strategy is a basic framework, but the **treatment needs to be individualized, customized**
- Fragmented care



Opportunities

- Strengthening the leadership
- "Forum"
- Coordination on all levels
- Tools for the evaluation
- Increasing awareness about diabetes on different levels
- **Redefining** collaborations and traditional roles



Threats

- **Economic crisis**. The resources destined more towards the treatment than prevention. Demotivation by the socio-economic context.
- The **political priorities** shift too fast. Lack of continuity in the actions started. Overwhelming **confluence of numerous health priorities** at the same time.
- **Diffusion of the Strategy** among healthcare professionals. Fear that the Strategy implies a greater control over their work and may be resistant to change.
- Growing prevalence of diabetes and pre-diabetes. The **Spanish lifestyle.** No specific laws promoting healthy lifestyles and no culture of disease prevention in the society.
- Social inequalities
- Diabetes management is changing
- Gaps in our knowledge of diabetes



Successful strategies

- DE-PLAN-CAT: overall reduction of diabetes incidence by 36.5% in a 4-year follow-up. 3,243 €/QALY
- E-services (Galicia): 12% reduction in outpatient clinics attendance, decreased average response time, 78% consultations without transfer to a hospital. Estimated 373,560 €/year and hospital department saved. Satisfaction level above 90% (patients and HCWs)
- Active Patient Program: improves blood pressure control and food habits. Does not improve the glycemic control of diabetes.



Lessons learnt

- Cost-effectiveness of prevention programs vs delaying the onset of the disease (individual AND society)
- Success depends on the motivation of the professionals (health administration merely provides means and resources)
- Work with all the risk factors at once. Peer education provides extra value to the patients; they feel accompanied in their disease. Truly patient-centered care. Increase empathy and be aware of the real needs of the patients.
- 98% of the daily decisions in relation to treatment are made by the patients at home
- Interventions must be coordinated and integrated across all levels of healthcare







The Joint Action on Chronic Diseases and Promoting Healthy Ageing across the Life Cycle (JA-CHRODIS)*

* This presentation arises from the Joint Action addressing chronic diseases and healthy ageing across the life cycle (JA-CHRODIS), which has received funding from the European Union, under the framework of the Health Programme (2008-2013). Sole responsibility lies with the author and the Consumers, Health, Agriculture and Food Executive Agency is not responsible for any use that may be made of in the information contained therein.

